arXiv:1512.06833v2 [hep-ph] 10 Feb 2016

Tricking Landau-Yang: How to obtain the diphoton excess from a vector resonance[☆]

Mikael Chala, Michael Duerr, Felix Kahlhoefer[1], Kai Schmidt-Hoberg

DESY, Notkestraße 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

We show that contrary to naive expectations the recently observed diphoton excess can be explained by a vector resonance, which decays to a photon and a light scalar s, followed by a decay of the scalar into two photons: $Z' \to \gamma s \to 3\gamma$. As the two photons from the scalar decay are highly boosted, the experimental signature is an apparent diphoton final state. In fact all the necessary ingredients are naturally present in Z' models: Additional fermions with electroweak quantum numbers are required in order to render the theory anomaly free and naturally induce the required effective couplings, while the hidden Higgs which gives mass to the Z' can be very light. In particular no new coloured states are required in this framework. We also show that in such a setup the width of the resonance can be rather large, while all couplings remain perturbative.

Keywords: Mostly Weak Interactions: Beyond Standard Model

1. Introduction

The recently observed excess in the diphoton channel reported by ATLAS [1] and (with slightly less significance) CMS [2], has triggered a large amount of interest in the particle physics community [3–48]. Interpretations in terms of particle physics models have mainly assumed a scalar or tensor resonance at 750 GeV, corresponding to the invariant mass observed in the diphoton system. The case of a vector resonance seems problematic due to the Landau-Yang theorem [49, 50], which implies that a vector resonance cannot decay into two photons. In this letter we show that the observed excess can nevertheless be due to a new vector resonance, which decays into three photons (see also [51]). The idea is to have a vector which decays into a photon and a light singlet scalar which then further decays into two photons; see figure 1 for the Feynman diagram. If the scalar is light enough the two photons will be highly boosted and reconstructed as one photon, leading to the apparent diphoton excess even though there are three photons in the final state.

A natural candidate for such a vector resonance is the gauge boson of a new $U(1)'$, which gets a mass from a hidden Higgs which is uncharged under the Standard Model (SM) gauge group. Most Z' models need additional fermions which have SM electroweak charges in order to be anomaly free and these additional fermions naturally induce the couplings which are needed in the current context. The Higgs boson can naturally be much lighter than the Z'.

As an example we will consider the case of a new $U(1)'$ under which only the third generation quarks are charged. This is motivated by the observation that no resonance has been observed at the LHC Run 1, implying that the increase of production cross section needs to be sizeable. In the case of b quarks in the initial state, the ratio of production cross sections at 8 and 13 TeV is sufficiently large (5.4) due to the proton PDFs. As couplings of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ are needed in order to have a large enough production cross section, the width due to decays into $b\bar{b}$ and $t\bar{t}$ is sizeable and can easily be as large as 45 GeV as indicated by the ATLAS result, while being consistent with searches for $pp \to Z' \to \bar{b}b$

[☆]This article is registered under preprint number: DESY 15-256, arXiv:1512.06833

[1]felix.kahlhoefer@desy.de



Figure 1: Feynman diagram for the process $Z' \to 3\gamma$

and $pp \to Z' \to \bar{t}t$.

This letter is organised as follows: In section 2 we introduce the effective approach and concentrate on the primary decay $Z' \to s\gamma$ while the subsequent decay $s \to \gamma\gamma$ is discussed in section 3. Details concerning possible ultraviolet (UV) completions are presented in section 4. Finally, section 5 discusses additional constraints and future prospects.

2. Model-independent parametrisation and Z' decays

In the following we will study the case of a new $U(1)'$ gauge group under which only the third generation quarks are charged. We assume the $U(1)'$ factor to be spontaneously broken by a complex scalar S which is charged under the $U(1)'$ but singlet with respect to the SM gauge group. The Lagrangian we consider is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \quad & \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{f=b,t} g' q_f Z'^{\mu}\, \bar{f}\gamma_\mu f - \frac{1}{4} F'^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} \\ & + (D^\mu S)^\dagger D_\mu S + \mu_s^2\, S^\dagger S - \lambda_s \left(S^\dagger S\right)^2 , \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where $D^\mu = \partial^\mu + i\, g' q_S\, Z'^\mu$, $F'^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu Z'^\nu - \partial^\nu Z'^\mu$, and we have assumed vectorial couplings to quarks only[2]. Once the hidden Higgs acquires a vev w we define

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s + w) \quad (2)$$

so that the Lagrangian in the unitary gauge can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \quad & \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{f=b,t} g' q_f Z'^{\mu}\, \bar{f}\gamma_\mu f - \frac{1}{4} F'^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} m_{Z'}^2\, Z'^\mu Z'_\mu + \frac{1}{2} g'^2 q_S^2\, Z'^\mu Z'_\mu (s^2 + 2\, s\, w) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu s \partial_\mu s + \frac{\mu_s^2}{2}(s+w)^2 - \frac{\lambda_s}{4}(s+w)^4 , \quad (3)\end{aligned}$$

with $m_{Z'}^2 = g'^2 q_S^2 w^2$. In addition, there can be mixing terms in the extended Higgs sector which we assume to be small for simplicity. The model as written down above is anomalous and therefore additional fermions charged under the electroweak and $U(1)'$ gauge groups are required to render the theory anomaly free. Interestingly, these additional fermions will generically induce effective couplings between the singlet s and the two abelian gauge bosons, that we parametrise as

$$\mathcal{L} \supset c_{BZ'} s B^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} + c_{BB} s B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} . \quad (4)$$

As the anomaly cancellation conditions do not fix the additional field content uniquely, these coefficients are *a priori* unknown. In the equation above, $B^{\mu\nu}$ stands for the $U(1)_Y$ field strength (note that there are hidden insertions of the vev of the hidden Higgs S which is why these terms are gauge invariant). In section 4 we will provide an estimate of the magnitude of these operators for a particular UV completion as an example.

The main production channel for the Z' at the LHC is Drell-Yan production with b quarks in the initial state. As there is a large suppression due to the proton PDFs, this production cross section is typically rather small. Nevertheless, for large couplings there are relevant constraints from resonance searches in $b\bar{b}$ and $t\bar{t}$ final states, if the branching ratios are sizeable (which is naturally the case for the model under consideration). Generally the partial widths of the Z' into SM fermions can be written as

$$\Gamma(Z' \to f\bar{f}) = \frac{m_{Z'} N_c}{12\pi} \sqrt{1 - 4\, x_f}\, (g' q_f)^2 \left[1 + 2 x_f\right] , \quad (5)$$

where $x_f = m_f^2/m_{Z'}^2$ and $N_c = 3$ for quarks. The decay modes into $b\bar{b}$ and $t\bar{t}$ will dominate the overall width. For $q_b = q_t$ the branching into each of the two channels is about 0.5. The partial widths of the Z' to $s\gamma$ and sZ are typically smaller and are

[2] See the discussion in [52] for why axial couplings can be problematic.

given by

$$\Gamma(Z' \to s\gamma) = \frac{(c_{BZ'})^2 c_W^2}{24\pi} m_{Z'}^3 \,, \tag{6}$$

$$\Gamma(Z' \to sZ) = \frac{(c_{BZ'})^2 s_W^2}{24\pi} m_{Z'}^3 \,, \tag{7}$$

where c_W (s_W) stands for the cosine (sine) of the Weinberg angle. Clearly the decay $Z' \to sZ$ is suppressed by a factor of $c_W^2/s_W^2 \sim 3.3$ compared to $Z' \to s\gamma$. Finally the decay width of s to $\gamma\gamma$ is given by

$$\Gamma(s \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{(c_{BB})^2 c_W^4}{4\pi} m_s^3 \,. \tag{8}$$

Several constraints on this model can be set in light of the results from the LHC Run 1. The upper bounds on the production cross section times branching ratio into $b\bar{b}$ and $t\bar{t}$ final states are about 1 pb [53] and 0.55 pb [54] at the 95% C.L., respectively. The diphoton channel is also constrained by Run 1 data to be below 2 fb at the 95% C.L. [55]. Given that the cross section of the observed excess at 13 TeV can be estimated to be around 5–10 fb, it is important to have a significant increase of the production cross section with the centre of mass energy. For b quarks in the initial state, this is indeed the case with the ratio of cross sections at 8 and 13 TeV being slightly larger than 5. Another constraint is that the total width of the resonance should not be too large (the best fit width measured by ATLAS is about 45 GeV, although there is currently a large uncertainty on this number and CMS prefers a narrow width). Potential constraints coming from electroweak precision data, in particular those due to the renormalization of the Z vertices [56], set negligible bounds as long as left-handed and right-handed quarks carry the same charge under the $U(1)'$ group.

In order to study the LHC phenomenology we have implemented this generic parametrisation including the five-dimensional operators in `MadGraph 5` [57] by means of `Feynrules v2` [58]. We take $q_b = q_t \equiv q_f$ and fix the scalar mass $m_s = 1$ GeV.[3] In figure 2 we show the region in the $g'q_f - c_{BZ'}$ plane that can account for the observed excess at 13 TeV without conflicting with previous searches. Indeed, the blue region is excluded by diphoton searches at 8 TeV, while in the red region



Figure 2: Parameter space in the plane of the Z' couplings to third generation quarks and to $s\gamma$ with bounds from LHC Run 1 diphotons (blue), signal cross section below 5 fb (red) as well as bounds from $t\bar{t}$ resonance searches (green). The white region can explain the observed excess and is consistent with all bounds.

the cross section of the diphoton signal at 13 TeV is smaller than 5 fb. Very large couplings to quarks produce too many $t\bar{t}$ final states and are hence excluded. Searches for $b\bar{b}$ are less constraining and not shown in the plot. Finally, a line indicating a Z' width of 45 GeV is also shown. Significantly larger widths are disfavoured as an explanation of the observed excess.

3. Mimicking one photon with two

So far we have concentrated on the production cross section of the Z' and its decay into $s\gamma$. Now we turn to the subsequent decays of the scalar s in order to determine whether the observed excess can be reproduced in our model. The central observation is that the effective Lagrangian introduced in eq. (4) does not only account for the production of s from decays of the Z', but it also leads to the decay processes $s \to \gamma\gamma$, $s \to ZZ$ and $s \to Z\gamma$. Provided the scalar is sufficiently light, only the first decay channel will be kinematically allowed,[4] so that we can assume $\mathrm{BR}(s \to \gamma\gamma) = 1$.

Our central observation is that the decay chain $Z' \to s\gamma \to 3\gamma$ may be interpreted as a diphoton

[3] We show in the next section that the resulting two photons will be reconstructed as one.

[4] We emphasise that any additional fermions should be heavier than about 100 GeV given their electroweak quantum numbers and that mixing in the Higgs sector is negligible. Consequently the branching fraction into fermions of the scalar s is expected to be small.

resonance, provided the two photons produced in the scalar decay are highly collimated (see for instance [59] for a similar analysis in the context of LEP). If the scalar s is produced with a boost factor γ_s and velocity β_s in the laboratory frame, the distribution of opening angles α is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = \frac{1}{4\,\gamma_s\,\beta_s}\frac{\cos\alpha/2}{\sin^2\alpha/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_s^2\,\sin^2\alpha/2 - 1}}\,, \qquad (9)$$

which (assuming $\gamma_s \gg 1$) is strongly peaked towards the minimum opening angle $\alpha_{\min} \simeq 2/\gamma_s$. Integrating the above distribution, we find that in 90% of the scalar decays the opening angle between the two photons is smaller than $4.6/\gamma_s$.

The typical angular resolution of the electromagnetic calorimeter in ATLAS and CMS is of order 20 mrad [60, 61]. Hence, for $\gamma_s \gtrsim 200$, the vast majority of the scalar decays will appear in the detector as a single photon rather than two individual photons. This number agrees with an ATLAS study on the process $h \to aa \to 4\gamma$ for a light pseudoscalar a [62]. It was found that for $m_a < 400$ MeV, the two photons from the pseudoscalar decay are indistinguishable and the decay chain therefore mimics $h \to \gamma\gamma$ in the detector. Since $m_h = 125$ GeV, this upper bound on the mass corresponds to the requirement $\gamma_a > 150$.[5]

The consideration above leads us to consider a very light scalar with mass $m_s < 2\,\mathrm{GeV}$. On the other hand, since we require the scalar to decay within the detector, it cannot be arbitrarily light. The decay length is given by

$$l = \beta_s\,\gamma_s/\Gamma \approx \frac{4\pi\,E_s}{c_{BB}^2\,c_W^4\,m_s^4}\,. \qquad (10)$$

Clearly, we must require $l \ll 1$ m in order for the majority of the scalars to decay within the detector, but it is certainly possible to have $l \sim 10$ cm.[6]

In figure 3 we illustrate these considerations in the $m_s - c_{BB}$ parameter plane. Since we expect c_{BB} to be comparable to $c_{BZ'}$, i.e. $c_{BB} \sim 10^{-1}$ TeV^{-1} we conclude that m_s should not be smaller than about 200 MeV. In the example presented below, we find $c_{BB} \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ TeV^{-1} (indicated by the dotted horizontal line), which would limit m_s to a relatively narrow range around 1–2 GeV. In this mass range it is possible to have a sufficiently short decay length and at the same time a sufficiently small opening angle between the two photons produced in the decay.



Figure 3: Constraints and interesting regions in the $m_s - c_{BB}$ parameter plane. The solid (dashed) lines correspond to constant scalar decay length (photon opening angle). In the green shaded region we expect the acceptance to be larger than 90%, meaning that the large majority of scalars will decay within the detector and give a signal that mimics a single photon. For comparison, we also show bounds on the parameter space from beam-dump experiments and collider searches (see [63]).

4. A $U(1)'$ model example

Having discussed the excess in a model-independent framework up to now, let us now give an example for a UV complete model that has the required properties. For our analysis we have assumed that only the third generation quarks are charged under the new $U(1)'$ gauge group, with $q_t = q_b \equiv q_f$. As commented earlier, new fermionic states charged under the $U(1)'$ and the SM gauge group are required to make this model anomaly free. It can easily be checked that all relevant anomalies are cancelled with the fermions given in Table 1, which are vector-like under the SM gauge group and do not need to carry colour charge. This solution for the cancellation of anomalies was first found in the context of gauging baryon and lepton numbers [64]. The only requirements on the hypercharges and the $U(1)'$ charges are

$$Q_1 - Q_2 = -3q_f \qquad (11)$$

[5] We thank Kerstin Tackmann and James Beacham for insightful discussions on this point.

[6] Note that it is not easily possible to reconstruct the tracks of the two photons and hence there is no problem with the scalar decaying from a displaced vertex (see also [8]).

Field	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)'$
Σ_L	**1**	**2**	Y_1	Q_1
Σ_R	**1**	**2**	Y_1	Q_2
ρ_R	**1**	**1**	Y_2	Q_1
ρ_L	**1**	**1**	Y_2	Q_2
ξ_R	**1**	**1**	Y_3	Q_1
ξ_L	**1**	**1**	Y_3	Q_2
S	**1**	**1**	0	$Q_1 - Q_2 = -3q_f$

Table 1: Fermionic and scalar field content of a possible anomaly-free UV completion, and the corresponding quantum numbers [64].

and[7]

$$2Y_1^2 - Y_2^2 - Y_3^2 = -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{12}$$

$$2Y_1 - Y_2 - Y_3 = 0. \tag{13}$$

The latter conditions on the hypercharges are solved by choosing (see e.g. [48])

$$(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) = (a,\ a + \frac{1}{2},\ a - \frac{1}{2})\ , \tag{14}$$

where a is a free parameter. Due to $U(1)'$ gauge symmetry the new fermions cannot have bare mass terms, but they will have Yukawa interactions with the new scalar S provided the $U(1)'$ charge of the scalar S satisfies the condition $q_S = Q_1 - Q_2$, which implies $q_S = -3q_f$ from anomaly cancellation. Once S obtains its vacuum expectation value, it will generate mass terms for the new fermions, as well as a mass term for the Z' gauge boson.

Since the additional fermions are charged under both $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)'$, they will naturally generate the couplings $c_{BZ'}$ and c_{BB} introduced in eq. (4). As long as the additional fermions are heavy compared to $m_{Z'}$ and m_s, we can estimate their contribution to be

$$c_{BZ'} = \sum_{\substack{\text{heavy}\\ \text{fermions}}} \frac{g_Y\, g'\, Q_Y\, Q'}{12\,\pi^2\, w}\ ,$$

$$c_{BB} = \sum_{\substack{\text{heavy}\\ \text{fermions}}} \frac{g_Y^2\, Q_Y^2}{24\,\pi^2\, w}\ , \tag{15}$$

where g_Y and g' denote the gauge couplings of $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)'$, respectively, Q_Y and Q' are the corresponding vector charges of the new fermions (i.e. $Q' = (Q'_L + Q'_R)/2$) and w is the vev of the second Higgs as defined in eq. (2).

Substituting the Z' mass for w, these expressions can be rewritten as

$$c_{BZ'} = \sum_{\substack{\text{heavy}\\ \text{fermions}}} \frac{g_Y\, g'^2\, Q_Y\, Q'\, q_f}{4\,\pi^2\, m_{Z'}}\ ,$$

$$c_{BB} = \sum_{\substack{\text{heavy}\\ \text{fermions}}} \frac{g_Y^2\, Q_Y^2\, g'\, q_f}{8\,\pi^2\, m_{Z'}} \tag{16}$$

leading to

$$c_{BZ'} \sim 0.012\ \text{TeV}^{-1} \times \sum_{\substack{\text{heavy}\\ \text{fermions}}} g'^2\, Q_Y\, Q'\, q_f\ ,$$

$$c_{BB} \sim 0.002\ \text{TeV}^{-1} \times \sum_{\substack{\text{heavy}\\ \text{fermions}}} Q_Y^2\, g'\, q_f \tag{17}$$

for $m_{Z'} = 750$ GeV. Since several fermions are expected to give a sizeable contribution to this expression, it is perfectly conceivable to obtain large enough effective couplings to account for the observed excess for gauge couplings and charges of order unity. For example, for $q_f = 1/3$ the bound on the total width of the Z' implies $g' \leq 1.8$ (see figure 2). Assuming that this bound is saturated and substituting the charges from table 1, we obtain

$$c_{BZ'} \sim 0.025\ \text{TeV}^{-1} \times a(Q_1 + Q_2)\ ,$$

$$c_{BB} \sim 0.0012\ \text{TeV}^{-1} \times \left(4a^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\ . \tag{18}$$

Setting for example $a = 1$, $Q_1 = 4$ and $Q_2 = Q_1 + 3\,q_f = 5$ gives $c_{BZ'} = 0.23\ \text{TeV}^{-1}$ and $c_{BB} = 0.005\,\text{TeV}^{-1}$, which are both consistent with the constraints shown in figures 2 and 3. We note

[7] Note that the linear condition on the hypercharges is only relevant if $|Q_1| \neq |Q_2|$.

that rather large fermion charges are required in order to obtain sufficiently large couplings. However, the contributions of the new fermions to $c_{BZ'}$ and c_{BB} will be larger if their masses are comparable to $m_{Z'}/2$, which is easily possible given that the new fermions do not carry colour charge. In such a set-up, smaller charges may be sufficient in order to explain the diphoton excess. A detailed study of the implication of such a UV completion is left to future work.

5. Discussion

We have shown that extending the SM with a new $U(1)'$ gauge group can provide an explanation for the recently observed diphoton excess around ~ 750 GeV by both ATLAS and CMS. The central idea is that a very light scalar decaying into two photons can mimic a single photon if it is produced with sufficiently large boost factor. This observation allows us to evade the Landau-Yang theorem and to obtain the diphoton excess from a vector resonance.

As a specific example we have discussed the addition of a new complex scalar degree of freedom and a set of new fermions in order for the new gauge Z' boson to have a mass and the full theory to remain anomaly free. It turns out that loops of new extra fermions give rise to trilinear vertices allowing the Z' to decay into $s\gamma$ and the light scalar s to decay into $\gamma\gamma$ respectively. The corresponding couplings are expected to be of the same order, namely $c_{BZ'} \sim c_{BB} \sim 0.1\ \text{TeV}^{-1}$, if the charges carried by the new fermions are large enough. Interestingly, these values can make the cross section into diphotons large enough to account for the observed excess and, at the same time, make the light scalar mimic a one-photon signature while still decaying within the detector.

In fact, the idea of reconstructing two photons as a single one may also be of interest to other models. One interesting example is provided by a scalar resonance Φ with mass $m_\Phi \sim 750$ GeV that decays into two very light scalars s that subsequently decay into two photons. The relevant interactions can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} \supset c_{GG}\, \Phi\, G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu\, a} + \kappa_\Phi \Phi s^2. \qquad (19)$$

In figure 4 we show the parameter space region in the $c_{GG} - \kappa_\Phi$ plane allowed by the diphoton searches at both 8 and 13 TeV as well as the best fit width.



Figure 4: Allowed and excluded parameter space regions in the plane of the Φ couplings to gluons and to s.

Searches for dijets are not sensitive to this region of the parameter space.

The two scenarios can be easily distinguished with additional data. If the diphoton excess is due to the process $Z' \to s\gamma$, a corresponding signal in $Z' \to sZ \to \gamma\gamma Z$ should be discovered in the near future. On the other hand, no such additional decay channel is expected if the diphoton excess is due to a scalar decaying into two very light scalars.

Finally, we emphasize that the gauge boson of a new $U(1)'$ gauge group can mix with the neutral gauge bosons of the SM. Indeed, given that we include fermions charged under both $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)'$, loop processes will in general lead to kinetic mixing of the form $-\frac{1}{2}\sin\epsilon F'^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$ [65, 66]. The presence of such a kinetic mixing term can modify electroweak precision observables and lead to sizeable decays of the Z' into SM leptons, providing promising ways to constrain this scenario. However, the precise magnitude of these effects depends on the specific UV completion. We leave a detailed investigation of these effects to future studies.

Acknowledgments

We thank James Beacham and Kerstin Tackmann for very helpful discussions and Joerg Jaeckel for valuable comments on the draft. This work is supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG) under the Collaborative Research Center (SFB) 676 Particles, Strings and the Early Universe as well as the ERC Starting Grant 'NewAve' (638528).

References

[1] ATLAS Collaboration, CERN preprint ATLAS-CONF-2015-081 (2015).
[2] CMS Collaboration, CERN preprint CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004 (2015).
[3] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, Phys. Lett. B754 (2016) 151-156, 1512.04850.
[4] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi and A. Djouadi, (2015), 1512.04913.
[5] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti and D. Redigolo, (2015), 1512.04917.
[6] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi and G. Moreau, (2015), 1512.04921.
[7] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo and D. Marzocca, (2015), 1512.04929.
[8] S. Knapen et al., (2015), 1512.04928.
[9] Y. Nakai, R. Sato and K. Tobioka, (2015), 1512.04924.
[10] A. Pilaftsis, (2015), 1512.04931.
[11] R. Franceschini et al., (2015), 1512.04933.
[12] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola and M. Raidal, (2015), 1512.04939.
[13] T. Higaki et al., (2015), 1512.05295.
[14] S.D. McDermott, P. Meade and H. Ramani, (2015), 1512.05326.
[15] J. Ellis et al., (2015), 1512.05327.
[16] M. Low, A. Tesi and L.T. Wang, (2015), 1512.05328.
[17] B. Bellazzini et al., (2015), 1512.05330.
[18] R.S. Gupta et al., (2015), 1512.05332.
[19] C. Petersson and R. Torre, (2015), 1512.05333.
[20] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, (2015), 1512.05334.
[21] B. Dutta et al., (2015), 1512.05439.
[22] Q.H. Cao et al., (2015), 1512.05542.
[23] S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, (2015), 1512.05564.
[24] A. Kobakhidze et al., (2015), 1512.05585.
[25] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa and C.F. Sierra, (2015), 1512.05617.
[26] P. Cox et al., (2015), 1512.05618.
[27] D. Becirevic et al., (2015), 1512.05623.
[28] J.M. No, V. Sanz and J. Setford, (2015), 1512.05700.
[29] S.V. Demidov and D.S. Gorbunov, (2015), 1512.05723.
[30] W. Chao, R. Huo and J.H. Yu, (2015), 1512.05738.
[31] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff and C. Royon, (2015), 1512.05751.
[32] D. Curtin and C.B. Verhaaren, (2015), 1512.05753.
[33] L. Bian et al., (2015), 1512.05759.
[34] J. Chakrabortty et al., (2015), 1512.05767.
[35] A. Ahmed et al., (2015), 1512.05771.
[36] P. Agrawal et al., (2015), 1512.05775.
[37] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz and J. Terning, (2015), 1512.05776.
[38] A. Falkowski, O. Slone and T. Volansky, (2015), 1512.05777.
[39] D. Aloni et al., (2015), 1512.05778.
[40] Y. Bai, J. Berger and R. Lu, (2015), 1512.05779.
[41] E. Gabrielli et al., (2015), 1512.05961.
[42] R. Benbrik, C.H. Chen and T. Nomura, (2015), 1512.06028.
[43] J.S. Kim et al., (2015), 1512.06083.
[44] A. Alves, A.G. Dias and K. Sinha, (2015), 1512.06091.
[45] E. Megias, O. Pujolas and M. Quiros, (2015), 1512.06106.
[46] L.M. Carpenter, R. Colburn and J. Goodman, (2015), 1512.06107.
[47] J. Bernon and C. Smith, (2015), 1512.06113.
[48] W. Chao, (2015), 1512.06297.
[49] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 77 (1950) 242.
[50] L.D. Landau, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. 60 (1948) 207.
[51] N. Toro and I. Yavin, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 055005, 1202.6377.
[52] F. Kahlhoefer et al., (2015), 1510.02110.
[53] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP 11 (2015) 071, 1506.08329.
[54] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 211804, 1309.2030, [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.112,no.11,119903(2014)].
[55] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad et al., Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 032004, 1504.05511.
[56] J. de Blas, M. Chala and J. Santiago, JHEP 09 (2015) 189, 1507.00757.
[57] J. Alwall et al., JHEP 07 (2014) 079, 1405.0301.
[58] A. Alloul et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014) 2250, 1310.1921.
[59] J. Jaeckel and M. Spannowsky, (2015), 1509.00476.
[60] ATLAS Collaboration, CERN preprint ATLAS-CONF-2012-123 (2012).
[61] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., JINST 8 (2013) P09009, 1306.2016.
[62] ATLAS Collaboration, CERN preprint ATLAS-CONF-2012-079 (2012).
[63] B. Dobrich et al., (2015), 1512.03069.
[64] M. Duerr, P. Fileviez Perez and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 231801, 1304.0576.
[65] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B166 (1986) 196.
[66] C.D. Carone and H. Murayama, Phys. Rev. D52 (1995) 484, hep-ph/9501220.